

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 FRANCISCO LEDESMA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DR. LAUREL (Nurse Practitioner),
15 DR. WEEKS (Medical Manager),
16 DR. ESTRADA (Medical Supervisor),
and SST Officer Wright, each in his
official and individual capacities,

17 Defendants.
18

No. LA CV 16-01350-VBF-FFM

ORDER

Adopting the Report and Recommendation:
Dismissing the Action Without Prejudice;
Directing Entry of Separate Judgment;
Terminating and Closing the Case (**JS-6**)

19 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the civil-rights complaint
20 (CM/ECF Document (“Doc”) 1), the Answer filed by defendant “SST Officer Wright” (Doc
21 23), the Answer filed by defendants Laurel and Weeks and Estrada (Doc 24), the summary-
22 judgment motion filed by defendants Laurel and Weeks and Estrada on May 26, 2017 (Doc
23 51) and supporting declarations (Docs 51-1 through 51-7), defendant Wright’s notice
24 joining in the summary-judgment motion (Doc 53), defendants’ June 21, 2017 notice
25 observing that plaintiff failed to file a response to the summary-judgment motion (Doc 55),
26 the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) issued by the United States Magistrate Judge
27 on Jun 23, 2017 (Doc 56), and the notices showing that the R&R and the accompanying
28 Notice of Filing of R&R (Doc 57) were returned from plaintiff’s address of record.

1 Plaintiff has not filed objections to the R&R, nor has he sought an extension of the
2 objection deadline, updated his address, or communicated with the Court in any other way
3 since the R&R issued. Finding no error of law, fact, or logic in the R&R, the Court will
4 adopt the Magistrate Judge's findings and conclusions and implement his
5 recommendations.

6
7 ORDER

8 Pursuant to this Court's inherent authority and its authority under Federal Rule of
9 Civil Procedure 41 and Central District of California ("C.D. Cal.") Local Civil Rule
10 ("LCivR") 41-1, this action is **DISMISSED without prejudice** due to plaintiff's lack of
11 prosecution (including his failure to timely update his address as required by C.D. Cal.
12 LCivR 5-4.8.1 and LCivR 83-2.4).

13 Judgment will be entered consistent with this Order. As required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
14 58(a), judgment will be entered as a separate document.

15 This case shall be **TERMINATED** and closed (**JS-6**).

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17
18 Dated: November 20, 2017



19
20
21 VALERIE BAKER FAIRBANK
22 Senior United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28